

## Associations of Serum Sex Hormone-Binding Globulin and Sex Hormone Concentrations with Hip Fracture Risk in Postmenopausal Women

Jennifer S. Lee, Andrea Z. LaCroix, LieLing Wu, Jane A. Cauley, Rebecca D. Jackson, Charles Kooperberg, Meryl S. Leboff, John Robbins, Cora E. Lewis, Douglas C. Bauer, and Steven R. Cummings

Divisions of Endocrinology, Clinical Nutrition, and Vascular Medicine (J.S.L.) and General Medicine (J.R.), Department of Internal Medicine, University of California Davis, Sacramento, California 95817; Fred Hutchinson Comprehensive Cancer Center (A.Z.L., L.W., C.K.), University of Washington, Seattle, Washington 98109; Department of Epidemiology (J.A.C.), University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15261; Division of Endocrinology (R.D.J.), Department of Medicine, Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio 43210; Brigham and Women's Hospital and Harvard Medical School (M.S.L.), Boston, Massachusetts 02115; Division of Preventive Medicine (C.E.L.), University of Alabama-Birmingham School of Medicine, Birmingham, Alabama 35205; San Francisco Coordinating Center (D.C.B., S.R.C.), California Pacific Medical Center Research Institute, San Francisco, California 94107; and Departments of Medicine and Epidemiology (D.C.B., S.R.C.), University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, California 94117

**Context:** Endogenous estradiol, testosterone, and SHBG may influence the risk of hip fracture.

**Design and Methods:** From the Women's Health Initiative Observational Study, 39,793 eligible postmenopausal women did not have a previous hip fracture and were not using estrogen or other bone-active therapies. Of these, 400 who had a first-time nonpathological hip fracture (median follow-up, 7 yr) were matched to 400 controls by age, ethnicity, and baseline blood draw date. Estradiol, testosterone, and SHBG were measured in banked baseline serum.

**Results:** Compared with women in the lowest tertiles, those with bioavailable testosterone in the highest tertile had a lower risk [odds ratio (OR) = 0.62; 95% confidence interval (CI) = 0.44–0.88]; those with bioavailable estradiol in the highest tertile had a lower risk (OR = 0.44; 95% CI = 0.29–0.66), and those with SHBG in the highest tertile had a higher risk (OR = 1.90; 95% CI = 1.31–2.74) of hip fracture. In models with all three hormones and potential confounders, high SHBG remained a strong independent risk factor (OR = 1.76; 95% CI = 1.12–2.78), high bioavailable testosterone remained protective (OR = 0.64; 95% CI = 0.40–1.00), but estradiol no longer was associated (OR = 0.72; 95% CI = 0.42–1.23).

**Conclusions:** High serum SHBG is associated with an increased risk of subsequent hip fracture and high endogenous testosterone with a decreased risk, independent of each other, serum estradiol concentration, and other putative risk factors. But endogenous estradiol has no independent association with hip fracture. (*J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 93: 1796–1803, 2008)

The number of hip fractures annually is estimated to reach 6 million worldwide by 2050 (1), and Caucasian women have a 17% lifetime risk of hip fracture by age 50 yr (2). Previous studies have reported that endogenous estradiol, testosterone, and SHBG may influence the risk of hip fracture in postmenopausal women. Estrogen deficiency increases bone loss, and previous studies suggest that women with very low estradiol levels

have an increased risk of fracture (3–10). For example, the Study of Osteoporotic Fractures (SOF) reported that elderly postmenopausal women who had endogenous estradiol concentrations below 5 pg/ml had a 2.5- to 3-fold greater risk of hip and vertebral fracture than women with higher levels (4). Other prospective studies found associations between higher estradiol levels and a decreased risk of hip and/or vertebral fracture (6, 7, 10, 11).

0021-972X/08/\$15.00/0

Printed in U.S.A.

Copyright © 2008 by The Endocrine Society

doi: 10.1210/jc.2007-2358 Received October 23, 2007. Accepted February 28, 2008.

First Published Online March 11, 2008

Abbreviations: BMD, Bone mineral density; BMI, body mass index; CI, confidence interval; CV, coefficient of variation; OR, odds ratio; SOF, Study of Osteoporotic Fractures; WHI-OS, Women's Health Initiative Observational Study.

Aromatization of testosterone outside the ovary is a major source of endogenous estradiol in postmenopausal women (12). In line with this mechanism of action, SOF found that a protective effect of testosterone on the risk of hip fracture was not independent of estradiol level. But testosterone may also have direct anabolic effects on bone, improve bone mineral density (13, 14), maintain muscle mass, and protect against falls and thus fractures (8, 13, 15, 16).

Several previous studies found high SHBG levels to be associated with higher risks of vertebral and other osteoporotic fractures in postmenopausal women (3, 4, 6, 7, 10, 17, 18). SHBG binds to estradiol and testosterone, thereby reducing the concentrations of these hormones that are available to interact with their receptors on bone and other target tissues. Additionally, SHBG might regulate cellular response to sex hormones at multiple steps by a SHBG-dependent sex hormone signaling mechanism (19, 20) so that higher circulating SHBG might decrease fracture risk by more than one mechanism.

The inter-relations among estradiol, testosterone, SHBG, and the development of hip fracture need to be examined. We used archived baseline specimens and data as well as longitudinal hip fracture information from the Women's Health Initiative Observational Study (WHI-OS) to evaluate the associations between endogenous circulating levels of estradiol, testosterone, and SHBG and the risk of subsequent hip fracture in postmenopausal women.

## Subjects and Methods

### Study population

The WHI-OS is a multicenter prospective study of 93,676 women who were ages 50–79 yr when they enrolled in 1993 through 1998 at 40 clinical centers in the United States. Women were ineligible for the study if they participated in a clinical trial or had less than 3 yr predicted survival, alcohol or drug dependency, mental illness, dementia, or other inability to participate in the study. A description of the study design and rationale has been reported elsewhere (21). This study was approved by the investigators' institutional review boards. Each of the participants signed written informed consent to participate in the study.

### Selection of women with incident hip fracture and controls

As of August 31, 2004, 39,793 women met the following eligibility criteria to select women who experienced a first-ever (incident) non-pathological hip fracture during follow-up. We excluded women with a baseline history of hip fracture and women with hip fractures from a known pathological cause. We excluded women who reported using estrogen, androgen, selective estrogen receptor modulators, antiestrogens, or other anti-osteoporotic medications, including bisphosphonates and PTH within 1 yr of baseline.

From among 39,793 eligible women, a total of 404 women suffered their first nonpathological hip fracture during a median follow-up of 7.0 yr. We selected randomly 400 of these women to comprise the incident hip fracture case group. For each case, a control was selected from the 39,793 eligible women who had not had a hip fracture, were not lost to follow-up, and were not deceased at the time of the respective case's hip fracture event. The control was matched to their case by age within 1 yr, race/ethnicity, and baseline blood draw within 120 days. Cases could have been a potential control for other cases whose fracture event oc-

curred previously, but none of the 400 controls had a hip fracture during the study period.

### Blood samples and measurements of sex hormones and SHBG

For each study participant, blood was collected at the baseline visit after at least a 12-h fast and then stored at  $-70^{\circ}\text{C}$  (22). Samples used for hormone measurements were taken from these baseline specimens. Samples were shipped on dry ice to the Reproductive Endocrine Research Laboratory (University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA). Laboratory personnel were blinded to case-control status, and samples were analyzed in random order.

Estradiol and testosterone concentrations were quantified using RIAs after organic solvent extraction and Celite column partition chromatography (23). For the estradiol RIA, the intraassay coefficient of variation (CV) was 7.9% at 34 pg/ml (124 pmol/liter), and interassay CV were 8.0 and 12.0% at 16 pg/ml (58.7 pmol/liter) and 27 pg/ml (99.1 pmol/liter), respectively. For the testosterone RIA, the interassay CV were 12.0% at 4.9 ng/dl (0.17 nmol/liter), 11.0% at 14.3 ng/dl (0.50 nmol/liter), and 10.0% at 47.9 ng/dl (1.66 nmol/liter); the intraassay CV was 6% at 14.3 ng/dl (0.50 nmol/liter). Bioavailable (non-SHBG-bound) and free (non-albumin- and non-SHBG-bound) estradiol (or testosterone) concentrations were calculated using the measured total estradiol (or total testosterone) and SHBG concentrations, an assumed constant for albumin, and affinity constants of SHBG and albumin for estradiol (or testosterone) (24, 25). These calculated values are highly correlated ( $r \geq 0.85$ ) with direct measurement of bioavailable estradiol and testosterone (25, 26). The sensitivities of the estradiol and testosterone assays were, respectively, 3 pg/ml (11.0 pmol/liter) and 1.5 ng/dl (0.052 pmol/liter), and concentrations below these values were deemed undetectable.

SHBG was quantified by a solid-phase, two-site chemiluminescent immunoassay using the Immulite Analyzer (Diagnostic Products Corp., Los Angeles, CA). The solid phase is a polystyrene bead with a monoclonal antibody specific for SHBG. The intraassay CV ranged from 4.1–7.7%, and the interassay CV ranged from 5.8–13%. The assay had a sensitivity of 0.2 nmol/liter, and concentrations below these values were deemed undetectable.

### Baseline questionnaire and clinical data

At baseline, questionnaire data included demographic information, medical history, medication use, family history, personal habits, physical activity, alcohol use, and dietary habits. Participants were asked to bring all medications and supplements to the clinic for verification of current use. Total calcium intake was derived from the sum of dietary and supplemental sources using a modification of the Block food frequency questionnaire (27) and an interviewer-administered medication inventory. Physical function was measured using the 10-item Rand-36 physical function scale, by which a score of 90 or greater approximates the upper 10th percentile in the WHI-OS (28).

Weight was measured on a balance beam scale while wearing indoor clothing to the nearest 0.1 kg, and height was measured with a fixed stadiometer to the nearest 0.1 cm. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as weight in kilograms divided by the square of height in meters ( $\text{kg}/\text{m}^2$ ).

### Ascertainment and validation of hip fracture cases

The incidence of hip fractures was assessed annually by mailed questionnaires, and those who reported a fracture were contacted by phone to obtain medical records and radiology reports. Possible or confirmed pathological hip fractures were excluded from the selection of cases.

### Statistical analyses

Baseline characteristics were compared between women with an incident hip fracture and controls, with reported *P* values from  $\chi^2$  tests for categorical variables and *t* tests for continuous variables. Undetectable hormone concentration readings (four readings for estradiol and no readings for testosterone and SHBG) were set to missing. Generalized

additive modeling (29) was used to determine whether the sex hormone measurements were linearly related to fracture risk, and Pearson correlation coefficients were calculated to assess the degree of linear correlation between sex hormone measures and BMI. Conditional logistic regression models were conducted to assess the associations between levels of sex hormones and the risk of subsequent hip fracture. Odds ratios (OR) with 95% confidence intervals (CI) were estimated per SD change in sex hormone concentration and according to tertile categories (defined by the distribution in controls), with associated *P* values for tests of linear trend. Associations were initially examined with adjustment of the matched factors, age and draw date, to account for any residual confounding. Because ethnicity was matched perfectly in cases and controls, it was not included in the models.

Models were adjusted for factors that were associated with both incident hip fracture and sex hormone or SHBG levels in the initial univariate analyses: total daily calcium intake, current cigarette smoking, corticosteroid use, nulliparity, and diabetes. Because estradiol, testosterone, and SHBG are interrelated, we hypothesized *a priori* that interactions between the sex hormones might influence the risk of hip fracture. BMI, current smoking status, and alcohol use were suspected to be associated with the risk of hip fracture by mediating sex hormone levels. Likelihood ratio tests were used to evaluate whether interactions between these factors and the hormones, and between the hormones themselves, were significant. OR also were estimated between sex hormone

levels and hip fracture after stratifying by median BMI and current smoking status. Results are presented for the raw measurements for each hormone, because logarithmic transformation of the concentrations of each hormone did not alter the findings. The level of significance used was *P* value < 0.05.

## Results

Subjects in this study had a mean age of 70.8 yr, and 95% were Caucasian, reflecting the higher risk of hip fracture in this racial group. Among the 400 women with an incident hip fracture, 268 (67%) were aged 70–79 yr, 107 (27%) were aged 60–69 yr, and 25 (6%) were aged 50–59 yr. Taking walks for exercise, alcohol use, prior sex hormone use, thiazide or thyroid medication use, and diabetes status did not differ between cases and controls. Cases were more likely to be nulliparous, currently smoke cigarettes, and use corticosteroids. Cases tended to have a parent who fractured a hip after age 40 yr and also had lower BMI and weight (mean  $\pm$  SD = 68.3  $\pm$  15.0 kg) than controls (70.4  $\pm$  12.9 kg; *P* = 0.03) (Table 1). Cases also reported lower calcium in-

**TABLE 1.** Baseline characteristics and endogenous serum hormone measures

Characteristic	No fracture (n = 400)	Fracture (n = 400)	<i>P</i> value
Age (yr)	70.8 $\pm$ 6.2	70.8 $\pm$ 6.2	
Caucasian (n)	380 (95%)	380 (95%)	
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	27.4 $\pm$ 5.1	26.0 $\pm$ 5.2	<0.001
Current cigarette smoking (n)	10 (2.5%)	36 (9.1%)	<0.001
Walks for exercise (n)	273 (70%)	258 (66%)	0.3
Current alcohol use (n)	247 (63%)	251 (63%)	0.6
Total hip BMD T-score $\leq$ -2.5 <sup>a</sup> (n)	5 (16%) <sup>a</sup>	12 (35%)	0.05
Total calcium intake $\geq$ 1 g/d (n)	214 (54%)	177 (45%)	0.009
Total vitamin D intake ( $\mu$ g)	9.3 $\pm$ 6.9	9.5 $\pm$ 9.9	0.7
Age at menopause (yr)	48.9 $\pm$ 6.4	48.5 $\pm$ 6.2	0.3
Bilateral oophorectomy before age 50 (n)	31 (7.8%)	32 (8.0%)	0.9
Live birth ever (n)	349 (87%)	318 (80%)	0.006
History of parent with hip fracture (n)	64 (16%)	80 (20%)	0.14
Prior estrogen or testosterone use (n)	98 (25%)	95 (24%)	0.8
Thiazide use (n)	23 (6%)	20 (5%)	0.6
Corticosteroid use (n)	4 (1%)	16 (4%)	0.007
Thyroid medication use (n)	58 (15%)	61 (15%)	0.8
Treated diabetes (n)	19 (5%)	24 (6%)	0.1
Excellent to very good health (self-reported) (n)	220 (56%)	194 (49%)	0.05
RAND 36 physical functioning score > 90 (n)	117 (30.1%)	84 (21.8%)	0.009
Sex hormones <sup>b</sup>			
Total estradiol (pg/ml) <sup>c</sup>	11.8 $\pm$ 6.3	10.8 $\pm$ 6.3	0.039
Bioavailable estradiol (pg/ml) <sup>d</sup>	7.5 $\pm$ 4.5	6.6 $\pm$ 4.3	0.002
Free estradiol (pg/ml) <sup>d</sup>	0.28 $\pm$ 0.17	0.25 $\pm$ 0.16	0.002
Total testosterone (ng/dl)	27.4 $\pm$ 15.1	25.5 $\pm$ 13.4	0.067
Bioavailable testosterone (ng/dl) <sup>d</sup>	12.6 $\pm$ 7.0	10.9 $\pm$ 6.3	<0.001
Free testosterone (ng/dl) <sup>d</sup>	4.9 $\pm$ 2.8	4.3 $\pm$ 2.5	<0.001
SHBG ( $\mu$ g/dl)	1.6 $\pm$ 0.8	1.8 $\pm$ 0.9	<0.001

Unless indicated as number of subjects (n), values are mean  $\pm$  SD; test of association was from  $\chi^2$  test (categorical variables) or *t* test (continuous variables).

<sup>a</sup> By World Health Organization criteria, 34 women with fracture and 32 controls had BMD measurements.

<sup>b</sup> Outlier cutoffs were 50 pg/ml for total estradiol, 100 ng/dl for testosterone, and 200  $\mu$ g/dl for SHBG; comparison was from *t* test using log-transformed values. For conversion of estradiol to pmol/liter, multiply by 3.671. For conversion of testosterone to nmol/liter, multiply by 0.0347. For conversion of SHBG to nmol/liter, multiply by 40.

<sup>c</sup> Four participants (one with incident fracture) had undetectable total estradiol values, and these were set to missing; three participants had quantity insufficient for one or two hormones, and these were set to missing.

<sup>d</sup> Bioavailable and free sex hormone concentrations were calculated using the measured total sex hormone and SHBG concentrations, an assumed constant for albumin, and affinity constants of SHBG and albumin for the sex hormone (24, 25).

take, poorer general health, and poorer physical functioning. Vitamin D intake did not differ between the two groups. Both age at menopause and early surgical menopause also did not differ. In the sample of 40 cases and 38 controls that had measurements of bone mineral density (BMD) at baseline, 12 (35%) of the cases compared with five (16%) of the controls had total hip BMD T-scores of  $-2.5$  or lower ( $P = 0.05$ ) (Table 1). The controls had a mean hip T-score of  $-1.2$  compared with the cases who had a mean of  $-2.1$  ( $P = 0.0006$ ).

Findings are reported for the bioavailable sex hormones which also represent those for the free forms because they were nearly perfectly correlated in controls. SHBG level was inversely correlated with bioavailable (or free) testosterone ( $r = -0.20$ ) and bioavailable (or free) estradiol ( $r = -0.41$ ) concentrations (Table 2). BMI was correlated with total ( $r = 0.45$ ) and bioavailable ( $r = 0.51$ ) forms of estradiol and inversely with SHBG levels ( $r = -0.31$ ). BMI was weakly correlated with total testosterone ( $r = 0.14$ ) and bioavailable testosterone ( $r = 0.29$ ). Correlations between total, bioavailable, and free sex hormone concentrations and BMI were no different in fracture cases than in controls ( $P > 0.05$ ).

### SHBG, sex hormones, and risk of hip fracture

Mean concentrations of endogenous bioavailable estradiol and testosterone were, respectively, 14 and 16% lower in cases, and mean SHBG levels were 11% higher in cases than controls (Table 1). Four participants (one with hip fracture) had undetectable estradiol levels. There was no significant evidence of nonlinear or threshold relations between these measurements and the risk of hip fracture ( $P > 0.1$ ).

Expressed as continuous values, each SD [ $SD = 4.5$  pg/ml (16.5 pmol/liter)] increase in bioavailable estradiol was associated with a 23% lower risk of hip fracture (OR = 0.77; CI = 0.65–0.91). Each SD [6.67 ng/dl (0.23 pmol/liter)] increase in bioavailable testosterone level was similarly associated with a 24% lower risk (OR = 0.76; CI = 0.65–0.89), whereas each SD [0.83  $\mu$ g/dl (33.2 nmol/liter)] increase in SHBG was associated with a 37% higher risk (OR = 1.37; CI = 1.18–1.59).

Bioavailable estradiol was no longer associated with hip fracture after adjustment for either bioavailable testosterone (OR = 0.86 per SD; CI = 0.72–1.04) or SHBG (OR = 0.90 per SD; CI = 0.75–1.08). In contrast, higher testosterone was associated with

a 20% lower risk (OR = 0.80 per SD; CI = 0.67–0.96) after adjustment for estradiol and a 17% lower risk (OR = 0.83 per SD; CI = 0.71–0.98) after adjustment for SHBG. Also, higher SHBG was associated with a 30% higher risk (OR = 1.30 per SD; CI = 1.11–1.52) after adjustment for testosterone and a 39% higher risk (OR = 1.39 per SD; CI = 1.17–1.66) after adjustment for estradiol.

With all three hormones in the same model, higher bioavailable testosterone was associated with an 18% (OR = 0.82 per SD; CI = 0.69–0.99) lower risk, and higher SHBG level was associated with a 36% (OR = 1.36 per SD; CI = 1.14–1.63) higher risk. But bioavailable estradiol was not associated with the risk of hip fracture (OR = 0.99 per SD increase; CI = 0.81–1.21). For more direct clinical relevance, the results were expressed by tertiles of bioavailable sex hormone and SHBG levels. High SHBG remained an independent risk factor in models with more than one hormone or all three hormones (Table 3).

Of the 400 hip fractures, 246 (62%) were classified as femoral neck and 147 (37%) as intertrochanteric fractures. The patterns of associations among bioavailable estradiol, bioavailable testosterone, SHBG, and risk of these subtypes of hip fracture did not differ by type of hip fracture (data not shown). The screening discriminatory ability of SHBG, testosterone, and estradiol concentrations for hip fracture of any type was weak (c-statistic = 0.57–0.59).

### Risk factors of hip fracture, endogenous sex hormones, and risk of hip fracture

Current smoking, parity, corticosteroid use, physical functioning, and BMI each were associated with hip fracture (Table 4). These variables remained associated with hip fracture in multivariable models that included sex hormone and SHBG levels. After adjustment for potential confounding factors, high SHBG level remained an independent risk factor (OR = 1.76; CI = 1.12–2.78), high bioavailable testosterone remained associated with protection against hip fracture (OR = 0.64; CI = 0.40–1.00), but bioavailable estradiol was not associated (OR = 0.72; CI = 0.42–1.23) (Table 4). Additional adjustment for BMI, which correlated with estradiol and somewhat with SHBG levels, attenuated the risk estimates for bioavailable estradiol and somewhat attenuated those for SHBG but not those for bioavailable testosterone (Table 4). Further adjustment for diabetes, calcium

**TABLE 2.** Correlations between baseline endogenous serum hormone concentrations in the women without incident hip fracture

Hormone	Total E <sub>2</sub> (n = 391)	Bio E <sub>2</sub> (n = 391)	Free E <sub>2</sub> (n = 391)	Total T (n = 391)	Bio T (n = 398)	Free T (n = 398)	BMI (n = 399)
Total E <sub>2</sub>							0.45
Bio E <sub>2</sub>	0.96						0.51
Free E <sub>2</sub>	0.96	1.00					0.51
Total T	0.34	0.24	0.24				0.14
Bio T	0.45	0.45	0.45	0.88			0.29
Free T	0.45	0.45	0.45	0.89	0.99		0.29
SHBG	−0.19	−0.41	−0.41	−0.21	−0.20	−0.20	−0.31

Bioavailable and free sex hormone concentrations were calculated using the measured total sex hormone and SHBG concentrations, an assumed constant for albumin, and affinity constants of SHBG and albumin for the sex hormone (24, 25). Correlations did not differ in cases compared to controls ( $P > 0.05$ ). Bio, Bioavailable; E<sub>2</sub>, estradiol; T, testosterone.

**TABLE 3.** Associations between tertiles of baseline hormone and SHBG levels and risk of hip fracture

Hormones	OR (95% CI)	
	Separate bivariate models <sup>a</sup>	Single multivariate model with all three hormones <sup>b</sup>
Bioavailable estradiol (pg/ml)		
First tertile <5.1	1.00	1.00
Second tertile 5.1 to <8.2	0.83 (0.59–1.16)	1.04 (0.71–1.51)
Third tertile 8.2+	0.44 (0.29–0.66)	0.66 (0.40–1.08)
Bioavailable testosterone (ng/dl)		
First tertile <9	1.00	1.00
Second tertile 9 to <14	0.72 (0.51–1.02)	0.78 (0.54–1.14)
Third tertile 14+	0.62 (0.44–0.88)	0.75 (0.50–1.15)
SHBG (μg/dl)		
First tertile <1.2	1.00	1.00
Second tertile 1.2 to <1.7	1.25 (0.86–1.82)	1.24 (0.84–1.84)
Third tertile 1.7+	1.90 (1.31–2.74)	1.72 (1.14–2.62)

Bioavailable sex hormone concentration was calculated using the measured total sex hormone and SHBG concentrations, an assumed constant for albumin, and affinity constants of SHBG and albumin for the sex hormone (24, 25).

<sup>a</sup> Conditional logistic regression model for each sex hormone individually, with adjustment for matched factors: age and blood draw date.

<sup>b</sup> Single multivariate model with all three hormones in the model and with adjustment for matched factors: age and blood draw date.

intake, and vitamin D intake did not attenuate these estimates (data not shown). We tested for but found no significant interactions ( $P_{\text{interaction}} > 0.1$ ) between SHBG, the bioavailable sex hormones, and possible mediators BMI, current smoking, and alcohol use on the risk of hip fracture. Results did not alter when BMI was replaced by weight in analyses.

## Discussion

We found that high SHBG concentration is a risk factor of subsequent hip fracture independent of circulating estradiol and testosterone levels and other risk factors. Also, high endogenous bioavailable testosterone appeared associated with a lower risk

**TABLE 4.** Hormonal and other predictors of hip fracture in postmenopausal women

Variables	Bivariate separate models <sup>a</sup>	$P^b$	Hormones and risk factors <sup>c</sup>	$P^b$	Hormones and risk factors including BMI <sup>d</sup>	$P^b$
BMI	0.95 (0.92–0.98)	<0.001			0.95 (0.92–0.99)	0.014
Current smoker	5.27 (2.20–12.60)	<0.001	4.75 (1.91–11.81)	<0.001	4.69 (1.88–11.68)	<0.001
Live birth ever	0.58 (0.39–0.85)	0.006	0.56 (0.36–0.87)	0.007	0.52 (0.33–0.81)	0.004
Corticosteroid use	4.38 (1.42–13.54)	0.010	2.84 (0.87–9.26)	0.096	3.00 (0.91–9.94)	0.072
RAND 36 physical functioning >90	0.64 (0.46–0.90)	0.010	0.67 (0.46–0.98)	0.041	0.61 (0.41–0.90)	0.013
Parent broke hip before age 40	1.33 (0.92–1.93)	0.133				
Total calcium intake (per 500 mg)	0.91 (0.82–1.01)	0.074				
Diabetes	1.26 (0.69–2.32)	0.456				
Bioavailable estradiol (pg/ml)		0.002		0.867		0.323
First tertile <5.1	1.00		1.00		1.00	
Second tertile 5.1 to <8.2	0.83 (0.59–1.16)		1.06 (0.70–1.61)		1.20 (0.78–1.85)	
Third tertile 8.2+	0.44 (0.29–0.66)		0.72 (0.42–1.23)		0.97 (0.54–1.73)	
Bioavailable testosterone (ng/dl)		<0.001		0.029		0.023
First tertile <9	1.00		1.00		1.00	
Second tertile 9 to <14	0.72 (0.51–1.02)		0.81 (0.54–1.22)		0.78 (0.51–1.18)	
Third tertile 14+	0.62 (0.44–0.88)		0.64 (0.40–1.00)		0.63 (0.39–1.00)	
SHBG (μg/dl)		<0.001		0.002		0.003
First tertile <1.2	1.00		1.00		1.00	
Second tertile 1.2 to <1.7	1.25 (0.86–1.82)		1.26 (0.83–1.93)		1.13 (0.73–1.74)	
Third tertile 1.7+	1.90 (1.31–2.74)		1.76 (1.12–2.78)		1.63 (1.02–2.59)	

For conversion of estradiol to pmol/liter, multiply by 3.671 pmol/liter. For conversion of testosterone to nmol/liter, multiply by 0.0347. For conversion of SHBG to nmol/liter, multiply by 40. Bioavailable sex hormone concentration was calculated using the measured total sex hormone and SHBG concentrations, an assumed constant for albumin, and affinity constants of SHBG and albumin for the sex hormone (24, 25).

<sup>a</sup> Each variable was modeled separately from the others, with adjustment for matched factors: age and blood draw date.

<sup>b</sup>  $P$  value for linear trend.

<sup>c</sup> A single multivariable model with adjustment for matched factors: age and date of blood draw.

<sup>d</sup> A single multivariable model with adjustment for matched factors: age and date of blood draw and includes BMI.

of hip fracture independent of the other hormones and risk factors. But endogenous estradiol concentration is not associated with hip fracture independent of either SHBG or testosterone.

Most previous studies have found a significant or suggestive association between higher SHBG and increased osteoporotic fracture risk (3, 4, 6, 7, 10, 17, 18). Two studies observed that women with high SHBG and lower endogenous estradiol levels had a very high risk of vertebral and hip fractures (4, 6, 10). The present study did not observe such an interaction between SHBG and either estradiol or testosterone but suggests that SHBG affects hip fracture risk independent of endogenous estradiol or testosterone.

It has been widely assumed that SHBG exerts its effect on bone indirectly by binding circulating estradiol and testosterone, thereby limiting their bioavailability to bone cells. However, our results complement findings that expand SHBG's role as a mediator of multiple signaling pathways in sex hormone-responsive cells (19, 20, 30, 31). Sex hormone-bound SHBG may bind its own cell membrane receptor (19, 32) and steroid-free SHBG to an endocytic receptor (20) to mediate intracellular sex hormone signaling and cell function. SHBG itself might directly influence BMD; certain mutations in the SHBG gene are associated with circulating SHBG levels and BMD (33). These lines of evidence need to be reconciled and addressed in bone cells to help clarify SHBG's role in fracture.

Although we observed a protective relation between estradiol and risk of hip fracture, the inverse association with SHBG and positive association with testosterone concentrations appeared to account for that relation. Our results differ from SOF, which observed strong and independent associations for estradiol and SHBG (4). SOF and a subsequent study suggested a threshold effect where only low estradiol was associated with a higher risk of fracture (4, 6). However, the present study did not observe such a threshold. Subjects in this study were somewhat younger and heavier, and using a similar assay, had somewhat higher baseline estradiol than in SOF. One third of the women had total estradiol levels less than 8 pg/ml (29 pmol/liter), whereas one third in the SOF study had levels less than 5 pg/ml (18 pmol/liter) (4). Bioavailable estradiol was not measured in SOF, but it is likely that bioavailable levels were also higher in the current study. The relation of endogenous estradiol with hip fracture risk might be somewhat weaker in younger, heavier women who have a lower risk of fracture and have higher baseline estradiol levels than postmenopausal women who weigh less or are older. Measurements of postmenopausal estradiol in our study by RIA after chromatographic extraction appear to be at least as accurate and precise as other estradiol assay methods, but assay standardization across studies of postmenopausal women would make direct comparisons more feasible (34). Our current study is substantially larger than SOF or other studies of this association.

Other studies have shown that testosterone exerts its effects on bone remodeling and bone loss as a precursor to estradiol (12). Our results support that bioavailable testosterone exerts direct beneficial effects on bone formation independent of endogenous estradiol (35, 36). The observed relation between testosterone and lower fracture risk might at least partly be due to

more intracellular production of estradiol by aromatase that is not reflected by circulating estradiol concentrations. Having heavier women in the current study is consistent with this; more aromatase conversion from testosterone to estradiol in adipose cells may occur. Higher testosterone levels might also increase muscle strength and decrease the risk of falling seen in older men (15), although the few studies in women have not shown clear associations (8, 16). Early oophorectomy or early natural menopause in cases more than controls could explain why estradiol was not associated with fracture independent of testosterone because it eliminates ovarian androgen production and decreases androgen substrate for conversion to estrogen, but we did not observe such a difference based on the WHI information collected on menopausal status.

We confirmed that several factors, including lower weight, lower BMI, current smoking, nulliparity, and corticosteroid use are associated with an increased risk of hip fracture. The detrimental effects of, for example, smoking might in part be mediated through lowering estradiol levels (37); however, we showed that these associations are not in large part explained by circulating estradiol, testosterone, or SHBG levels.

This study has several strengths. It is the largest prospective study of endogenous hormones and hip fracture to date. Rates of follow-up for potential hip fractures were high, and fractures were validated by radiographs. Estradiol and testosterone were measured by very sensitive extraction-based RIAs. We measured and analyzed the interrelations among endogenous estradiol, testosterone, and SHBG concentrations in the risk of subsequent hip fracture.

Nevertheless, this study has several limitations. BMD was measured on a small minority, so we could not assess whether this is an intermediary between sex hormone levels and fracture. A limited number of fractures by subtype hindered testing whether associations differ by type of hip fracture. As in previous studies, our subjects were almost all Caucasian, limiting the generalizability of our results to women of other races. Hormone levels were measured at a single time point, and fracture occurred up to 7 yr after baseline measures. However, single measurements of postmenopausal estradiol are moderately correlated ( $r \geq 0.7$ ) with measurements made 2–3 yr later (38). Use of anti-osteoporosis medications during the study period was obtained at only yr 3 (follow-up midpoint), was not verified, and thus could not be assessed. But less than 10% of the eligible study population self-reported taking anti-osteoporosis medications at yr 3, so use is unlikely to have materially altered our results. Low estradiol might act on bone by increasing FSH levels (39). We did not measure FSH, but studies have found that high testosterone in fact may resist FSH-induced bone loss (40). Also, serum SHBG was not measured in these studies. In addition, menopausal exogenous estrogen increases both SHBG and estradiol levels while protecting against fractures; studies of how SHBG and estrogen levels might mediate the effect of exogenous estrogen use on fracture risk are warranted.

In summary, higher circulating levels of SHBG are associated with an increased risk of hip fracture independent of its effects on circulating levels of bioavailable testosterone and estradiol. This suggests that potential direct effects of SHBG on bone cells de-

serve additional study. Moreover, endogenous testosterone appears to reduce the risk of hip fracture in postmenopausal women. This suggests that declines in testosterone production may be an explanation for the increased risk of hip fracture after menopause and with aging, and interventions to maintain or improve bioavailable testosterone levels after menopause might reduce the risk of hip fracture. Finally, our study does not confirm that endogenous estradiol plays an important independent role in the risk of hip fracture in older postmenopausal women.

## Acknowledgments

We acknowledge the following WHI investigators: Elizabeth Nabel, Jacques Rossouw, Shari Ludlam, Linda Pottern, Joan McGowan, Leslie Ford, and Nancy Geller [Program Office: National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (NHLBI), Bethesda, MD]; Ross Prentice, Garnet Anderson, Andrea LaCroix, Charles L. Kooperberg, Ruth E. Patterson, Anne McTiernan (Clinical Coordinating Center: Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, Seattle, WA); Sally Shumaker (Wake Forest University School of Medicine, Winston-Salem, NC); Evan Stein (Medical Research Labs, Highland Heights, KY); and Steven Cummings (University of California at San Francisco, San Francisco, CA). We also acknowledge the participation of the following clinical centers: Albert Einstein College of Medicine, Bronx, NY (Sylvia Wassertheil-Smoller); Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX (Jennifer Hays); Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA (JoAnn Manson); Brown University, Providence, RI (AnnLouise R. Assaf); Emory University, Atlanta, GA (Lawrence Phillips); Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, Seattle, WA (Shirley Beresford); George Washington University Medical Center, Washington, DC (Judith Hsia); Harbor-UCLA Research and Education Institute, Torrance, CA (Rowan Chlebowski); Kaiser Permanente Center for Health Research, Portland, OR (Evelyn Whitlock); Kaiser Permanente Division of Research, Oakland, CA (Bette Caan); Medical College of Wisconsin, Milwaukee, WI (Jane Morley Kotchen); MedStar Research Institute/Howard University, Washington, DC (Barbara V. Howard); Northwestern University, Chicago/Evanston, IL (Linda Van Horn); Rush-Presbyterian St. Luke's Medical Center, Chicago, IL (Henry Black); Stanford Prevention Research Center, Stanford, CA (Marcia L. Stefanick); State University of New York at Stony Brook, Stony Brook, NY (Dorothy Lane); The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH (Rebecca Jackson); University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL (Cora E. Lewis); University of Arizona, Tucson/Phoenix, AZ (Tamsen Bassford); University at Buffalo, Buffalo, NY (Jean Wactawski-Wende); University of California at Davis, Sacramento, CA (John Robbins); University of California at Irvine, Orange, CA (Allan Hubbell); University of California at Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA (Howard Judd); University of California at San Diego, La Jolla/Chula Vista, CA (Robert D. Langer); University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, OH (Margery Gass); University of Florida, Gainesville/Jacksonville, FL (Marian Limacher); University of Hawaii, Honolulu, HI (David Curb); University of Iowa, IA City/Davenport, IA (Robert Wallace); University of Massachusetts/Fallon Clinic, Worcester, MA (Judith Ockene); University of Medicine and Dentistry of New Jersey, Newark, NJ (Norman Lasser); University of Miami, Miami, FL (Mary Jo O'Sullivan); University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN (Karen Margolis); University of Nevada, Reno, NV (Robert Brunner); University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC (Gerardo Heiss); University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA (Lewis Kuller); University of Tennessee, Memphis, TN (Karen C. Johnson); University of Texas Health Science Center, San Antonio, TX (Robert Brzyski); University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI (Gloria E. Sarto); Wake Forest University School of Medicine, Winston-Salem, NC (Denise Bonds); and Wayne State University School of Medicine/Hutzel Hospital, Detroit, MI (Susan Hendrix).

Address all correspondence and requests for reprints to: Jennifer S. Lee, M.D., Division of Endocrinology, Clinical Nutrition, and Vascular Medicine, Department of Internal Medicine, PSSB Suite G400, Sacramento, California 95817. E-mail: jswlee@ucdavis.edu.

The WHI program is funded by the NHLBI, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. The sponsor (NHLBI) has played a role in design and analyses of WHI. L.W. is independent of any commercial funding, and she had full access to all of the data and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis. Support for these analyses was provided by U.S. Public Health Service Research Grant AR048919. J.S.L. was also supported by Grant UL1RR024146 from the National Center for Research Resources (NCRR).

Disclosure Statement: J.S.L., L.W., C.K., J.R., C.E.L., R.D.J., D.C.B., and S.R.C. have nothing to declare. A.Z.L. consults for Pfizer and advises Proctor, Gamble. J.A.C. has received research support from Merck, Eli Lilly, Pfizer, and Novartis; consulted for Eli Lilly and Novartis; and is on the speaker's bureau for Merck. M.S.L. has equity in Amgen.

## References

1. Keen RW 2003 Burden of osteoporosis and fractures. *Curr Osteoporos Rep* 1:66–70
2. Cummings SR, Melton LJ 2002 Epidemiology and outcomes of osteoporotic fractures. *Lancet* 359:1761–1767
3. Tromp AM, Ooms ME, Popp-Snijders C, Roos JC, Lips P 2000 Predictors of fractures in elderly women. *Osteoporos Int* 11:134–140
4. Cummings SR, Browner WS, Bauer D, Stone K, Ensrud K, Jamal S, Ettinger B 1998 Endogenous hormones and the risk of hip and vertebral fractures among older women. Study of Osteoporotic Fractures Research Group. *N Engl J Med* 339:733–738
5. Greendale GA, Edelstein S, Barrett-Connor E 1997 Endogenous sex steroids and bone mineral density in older women and men: the Rancho Bernardo Study. *J Bone Miner Res* 12:1833–1843
6. Garnero P, Sornay-Rendu E, Claustrat B, Delmas PD 2000 Biochemical markers of bone turnover, endogenous hormones and the risk of fractures in postmenopausal women: the OFELY study. *J Bone Miner Res* 15:1526–1536
7. Chapurlat RD, Garnero P, Breart G, Meunier PJ, Delmas PD 2000 Serum estradiol and sex hormone-binding globulin and the risk of hip fracture in elderly women: the EPIDOS study. *J Bone Miner Res* 15:1835–1841
8. Sipilä S, Heikkinen E, Cheng S, Suominen H, Saari P, Kovanen V, Alen M, Rantanen T 2006 Endogenous hormones, muscle strength, and risk of fall-related fractures in older women. *J Gerontol* 61:92–96
9. Devine A, Dick IM, Dhaliwal SS, Naheed R, Beilby J, Prince RL 2005 Prediction of incident osteoporotic fractures in elderly women using the free estradiol index. *Osteoporos Int* 16:216–221
10. Goderie-Plomp HW, van der Klift M, de Ronde W, Hofman A, de Jong FH, Pols HA 2004 Endogenous sex hormones, sex hormone-binding globulin, and the risk of incident vertebral fractures in elderly men and women: the Rotterdam Study. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 89:3261–3269
11. Kuchuk NO, van Schoor NM, Pluijm SM, Smit JH, de Ronde W, Lips P 2007 The association of sex hormone levels with quantitative ultrasound, bone mineral density, bone turnover and osteoporotic fractures in older men and women. *Clin Endocrinol (Oxf)* 67:295–303
12. Riggs BL, Khosla S, Melton 3rd LJ 2002 Sex steroids and the construction and conservation of the adult skeleton. *Endocr Rev* 23:279–302
13. Notelovitz M 2002 Androgen effects on bone and muscle. *Fertil Steril* 77(Suppl 4):S34–S41
14. Kearns AE, Khosla S 2004 Potential anabolic effects of androgens on bone. *Mayo Clin Proc* 79:S14–S18
15. Orwoll E, Lambert LC, Marshall LM, Blank J, Barrett-Connor E, Cauley J, Ensrud K, Cummings SR 2006 Endogenous testosterone levels, physical performance, and fall risk in older men. *Arch Intern Med* 166:2124–2131
16. Schaap LA, Pluijm SM, Smit JH, van Schoor NM, Visser M, Gooren LJ, Lips P 2005 The association of sex hormone levels with poor mobility, low muscle strength and incidence of falls among older men and women. *Clin Endocrinol (Oxf)* 63:152–160
17. van Hemert AM, Birkenhager JC, De Jong FH, Vandenbroucke JP, Valkenburg HA 1989 Sex hormone binding globulin in postmenopausal women: a predictor of osteoporosis superior to endogenous oestrogens. *Clin Endocrinol (Oxf)* 31:499–509
18. Bjornerem A, Ahmed LA, Joakimsen RM, Berntsen GK, Fonnebo V, Jorgensen

- L, Oian P, Seeman E, Straume B 2007 A prospective study of sex steroids, sex hormone-binding globulin, and non-vertebral fractures in women and men: the Tromsø Study. *Eur J Endocrinol* 157:119–125
19. Kahn SM, Hryb DJ, Nakhla AM, Romas NA, Rosner W 2002 Sex hormone-binding globulin is synthesized in target cells. *J Endocrinol* 175:113–120
  20. Hammes A, Andreassen TK, Spoelgen R, Raila J, Hubner N, Schulz H, Metzger J, Schweigert FJ, Luppä PB, Nykjaer A, Willnow TE 2005 Role of endocytosis in cellular uptake of sex steroids. *Cell* 122:751–762
  21. 1998 Design of the Women's Health Initiative clinical trial and observational study. The Women's Health Initiative Study Group. *Control Clinical Trials* 19:61–109
  22. Anderson GL, Manson J, Wallace R, Lund B, Hall D, Davis S, Shumaker S, Wang CY, Stein E, Prentice RL 2003 Implementation of the Women's Health Initiative study design. *Ann Epidemiol* 13:S5–S17
  23. Probst-Hensch NM, Ingles SA, Diep AT, Haile RW, Stanczyk FZ, Kolonel LN, Henderson BE 1999 Aromatase and breast cancer susceptibility. *Endocr Relat Cancer* 6:165–173
  24. Sodergard R, Backstrom T, Shanbhag V, Carstensen H 1982 Calculation of free and bound fractions of testosterone and estradiol-17 $\beta$  to human plasma proteins at body temperature. *J Steroid Biochem* 16:801–810
  25. Vermeulen A, Verdonck L, Kaufman JM 1999 A critical evaluation of simple methods for the estimation of free testosterone in serum. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 84:3666–3672
  26. Rinaldi S, Geay A, Dechaud H, Biessy C, Zeleniuch-Jacquotte A, Akhmedkhanov A, Shore RE, Riboli E, Toniolo P, Kaaks R 2002 Validity of free testosterone and free estradiol determinations in serum samples from postmenopausal women by theoretical calculations. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 11:1065–1071
  27. Patterson RE, Kristal AR, Tinker LF, Carter RA, Bolton MP, Agurs-Collins T 1999 Measurement characteristics of the Women's Health Initiative food frequency questionnaire. *Ann Epidemiol* 9:178–187
  28. Hays RD, Sherbourne CD, Mazel RM 1993 The RAND 36-Item Health Survey 1.0. *Health Econ* 2:217–227
  29. Hastie T, Tibshirani R 1990 Exploring the nature of covariate effects in the proportional hazards model. *Biometrics* 46:1005–1016
  30. Khosla S 2006 Editorial: Sex hormone binding globulin: inhibitor or facilitator (or both) of sex steroid action? *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 91:4764–4766
  31. Rosner W, Hryb DJ, Khan MS, Nakhla AM, Romas NA 1999 Sex hormone-binding globulin mediates steroid hormone signal transduction at the plasma membrane. *J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol* 69:481–485
  32. Fortunati N, Catalano MG 2006 Sex hormone-binding globulin (SHBG) and estradiol cross-talk in breast cancer cells. *Horm Metab Res* 38:236–240
  33. Eriksson AL, Lorentzon M, Mellstrom D, Vandenput L, Swanson C, Andersson N, Hammond GL, Jakobsson J, Rane A, Orwoll ES, Ljunggren O, Johnell O, Labrie F, Windahl SH, Ohlsson C 2006 SHBG gene promoter polymorphisms in men are associated with serum sex hormone-binding globulin, androgen and androgen metabolite levels, and hip bone mineral density. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 91:5029–5037
  34. Lee JS, Ettinger B, Stanczyk FZ, Vittinghoff E, Hanes V, Cauley JA, Chandler W, Settlage J, Beattie MS, Folkert E, Dowsett M, Grady D, Cummings SR 2006 Comparison of methods to measure low serum estradiol levels in postmenopausal women. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 91:3791–3797
  35. Venken K, De Gendt K, Boonen S, Ophoff J, Bouillon R, Swinnen JV, Verhoeven G, Vanderschueren D 2006 Relative impact of androgen and estrogen receptor activation in the effects of androgens on trabecular and cortical bone in growing male mice: a study in the androgen receptor knockout mouse model. *J Bone Miner Res* 21:576–585
  36. Khosla S, Bilezikian JP 2003 The role of estrogens in men and androgens in women. *Endocrinol Metab Clin North Am* 32:195–218
  37. Tanko LB, Christiansen C 2004 An update on the antiestrogenic effect of smoking: a literature review with implications for researchers and practitioners. *Menopause* 11:104–109
  38. Hankinson SE, Manson JE, Spiegelman D, Willett WC, Longcope C, Speizer FE 1995 Reproducibility of plasma hormone levels in postmenopausal women over a 2–3-year period. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 4:649–654
  39. Sun L, Peng Y, Sharrow AC, Iqbal J, Zhang Z, Papachristou DJ, Zaidi S, Zhu LL, Yaroslavskiy BB, Zhou H, Zallone A, Sairam MR, Kumar TR, Bo W, Braun J, Cardoso-Landa L, Schaffler MB, Moonga BS, Blair HC, Zaidi M 2006 FSH directly regulates bone mass. *Cell* 125:247–260
  40. Seibel MJ, Dunstan CR, Zhou H, Allan CM, Handelsman DJ 2006 Sex steroids, not FSH, influence bone mass. *Cell* 127:1079; author reply 1080–1071